UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,700	09/30/2004	Kazutaka Hara	042726	2160
	7590 01/26/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV	SHAFER, RICKY D		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D/	AVS	01/26/2007	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-19	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/509,700	HARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication applied Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Oct 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the pra	action is non-final.  ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-21 are subject to restriction					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order access and the correction of the correction of the order access and the correction of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

A -- II- 14 2072

Art Unit: 2872

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of species "A", the species depicted by Fig. 1, in the reply filed on 10/27/2006 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 6-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/27/2006.
- 3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 2, drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers and a retardation layer disposed between the polarizers layers with particular polarizer layers details.

Group II, claim(s) 3 and 4, drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers and a half wavelength plate disposed between the polarizers layers.

Group III, claim(s) 5, drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers and a retardation layer disposed between the polarizers layers with particular overlapping wavelength details.

Group IV, claim(s) 11-15, drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers and a retardation layer disposed between the polarizers layers with particular retardation layers details.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,700

Art Unit: 2872

Group V, claim(s) 16 and 17, drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers, a retardation layer disposed between the polarizers layers and at least one additional layer comprising a quarter wavelength plate.

Group VI, claim(s) 18, drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers, a retardation layer disposed between the polarizers layers and translucent layers comprising an adhesive.

Group VII, claim(s) 19-20, drawn to drawn to a polarization component comprising at least two reflective circular polarizers layers, a retardation layer disposed between the polarizers layers and a reflective layer.

Claims 1 and 21 will be examined along with any one of the elected Groups I and III-VII.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: it appears any special technical features of the above mentioned inventions relate to the separate features of the particular inventions. For each of Groups I-VII above, each of the listed groups has special technical features not required for the other listed groups. The special technical features exclusive to each group are listed above in the listing of the groups.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

1). The retardation layer depicted by claim 11.

·

Page 4

2). The retardation layer depicted by claim 12,

3). The retardation layer depicted by claim 13.

4). The retardation layer depicted by claim 14 and

5). The retardation layer depicted by claim 15.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species consistent with the elected invention to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: it appears any special technical features of the above mentioned species relate to the separate features of the particular species. Each of listed Species 1-5 above, has special technical features mutually exclusive to each species that are not required by any of the other listed species.

Art Unit: 2872

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,700 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**RDS** 

January 20, 2007